**MID CANDIDATURE REVIEW FORM**

**(DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY)**

**INSTRUCTIONS**

1. This form is to be used by the panel of three members, all panel members will be independent of the supervisory team.
2. Supervisors and College ADR will nominate panel members and will be approved by the respective College Dean.
3. Mid Candidature Confirmation conforms to the FNU Higher Degrees by Research Policy and Procedure.
4. Mid Candidature report submitted by the candidate to the panel must not exceed 6,000 words.
5. In addition, candidates are required to attach their evidence of ethics approval (if applicable), publication/s (if any), draft chapters, evidence of data collection and analysis, and any other material relevant to the study outcomes.
6. The mid-candidature confirmation presentation will be for 30 minutes followed by questions from the review panel members.

**DETAILS**

**HDR Candidates Name**: Click here to enter text.

**Student ID No**: Click here to enter text.

**Programme**:  Doctor of Philosophy

**Mode of Study**:  Part-time  Full-time

**College**: Choose an item.

**Senior Supervisor**: Click here to enter text.

**Co-Supervisor** (if applicable): Click here to enter text.

**Secondary Supervisor**: Click here to enter text.

**Advisor** (if applicable): Click here to enter text.

**Thesis title:** Click here to enter text.

**MID CANDIDATURE REVIEW ORAL PRESENTATION AND WRITTEN WORK ASSESSMENT**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PROGRESS ON RESEARCH PROJECT SINCE CANDIDATURE CONFIRMATION** | **Tasks Accomplished to date** | **Oral Presentation** | **Scale**  **Award rating on a scale of 1 to 10 based on the candidate's presentation** |
|  | **Comments** | **Comments** | **1=least -10= highest** |
| Ethics Approval (if applicable) |  |  |  |
| Demonstrates evidence of first set of data collection and analysis | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |
| Ability to address findings by critical and logical discussion/ arguments with support of literature or previous research | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PROGRESS AND JUSTIFICATION FOR THE NEXT PHASE OF RESEARCH** | **Written Work** | **Oral Presentation** | **Scale**  **Award rating on a scale of 1 to 10 based on the candidate's presentation** |
|  | **Comments** | **Comments** | **1=least -10= highest** |
| Summary of the research findings | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |
| Relevance of the first set of findings to the significance of the study | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |
| Contribution of the findings to the research domain | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Justification for the next stage of research | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ANTICIPATED COMPLETION TIMEFRAME** | **Written Work** | **Use of Gantt Chart or an acceptable** | **Scale**  **Award rating on a scale of 1 to 10 based on the candidate's presentation** |
|  | **Comments** | **1=least -10= highest** | **1=least -10= highest** |
| Candidate provided a timetable to complete the remaining works preferably on a Gantt Chart | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Completing of Graduate Research Professional Courses/ Activities**  Candidate provides a list of graduate research professional activities undertaken | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |
| Draft chapters completed |  |  |  |
| **Evidence of Research Outputs**  Candidate provides evidence of:   * Any publication/s either in draft form, submitted, being peer-reviewed and or accepted for publication. |  | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **REFERENCES** | **Written Work** | **Scale**  **Award rating on a scale of 1 to 10 based on the candidate's presentation** |
|  | **Comments** | **1=least -10= highest** |
| Candidate provides a list of citations compiled in EndNote | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |
| Candidates’ ability to do intext/ parenthetical referencing | Click here to enter text. | Click here to enter text. |

|  |
| --- |
| **EVALUATION OF WRITTEN WORK** |
| **The quality and quantity of work provided by the candidate is:**  Adequate  Partially adequate  Inadequate |

|  |
| --- |
| **Comments on partially adequate work and future directions:**  Click here to enter text. |
| **Comments on inadequate work completed and future directions for the candidate:**  Click here to enter text. |

**ASSESSORS WRITTEN REPORT**

The assessor may provide brief additional comments on the status of work completed at Mid Candidature level:

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**ASSESSORS RECOMMENDATION**

The assessor's recommendation will be used by the ADR to provide a final report to OPVCR for HDRC to endorse the panel’s assessment. *Please* ***Tick*** *one of the three recommendations based on your assessment*.

Based on my assessment:

1. The candidate’s research presentation is satisfactory, meets the requirements for mid candidature confirmation, and to proceed to the remaining stages of the research programme.
2. The candidate’s mid candidature confirmation to be approved, subject to completing amendments to the candidate's progress report within 2 weeks of the assessment to the satisfaction of the ADR and OPVCR. Comments and suggestions for improvements to be used by the ADR to provide a written report to OPVCR and HDRC for the candidate.

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

1. That the candidate’s research report and work submitted is not adequate for Mid Candidature. The candidate should be given a second chance within two weeks to improve and present. Comments and suggestions for improvements to be used by the ADR to provide a written report to OPVCR and HDRC for the candidate.

|  |
| --- |
| Click here to enter text. |

**Assessors Name**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Title**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Signature**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Date**: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_